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Abstract

The relationships between people and wild canids are a widespread concern for the conservation of species and habitats. The maned wolf *Chrysocyon brachyurus* is a Near Threatened species inhabiting South America. Strategies to conserve this keystone species may benefit the also-declining Cerrado biome. The attitudes of teenagers toward wild carnivores are also of worldwide interest as these youth are the future decision makers. We investigated selected attitudes, beliefs and knowledge in relation to the maned wolf of two age groups (12-13 and 16-17), using questionnaires delivered in three urban areas of the São Paulo state, a region highly populated and rich in biodiversity and endemism. Responses were analysed according to age groups, gender, location and experiences concerning the maned wolf. Results suggest that positive attitudes declined with age; gender has very little effect on attitudes; seeing the maned wolf in nature and zoos may increase support for the conservation of the species, while identification with some maned wolf attributes amongst older teens may foster sympathy toward the species. Conservation strategies and environmental education can help to provide early positive experiences of contact with the maned wolf and the Cerrado, especially if they are tailored to address the variation we found in attitudes between different age groups.

Introduction

The relationship between people and wild animals is multifaceted and pervaded by feelings of both antagonism and attachment (Bjerke, Odegardstuen and Kaltenborn 1998b; Clayton and Myers 2009). How people relate to wild carnivores is of particular interest to conservation due to their outstanding role in the dynamics of ecosystems and biodiversity (Sergio et al. 2006). An understanding of this relationship, of how it develops from early years to adulthood, and of the factors affecting it is necessary to inform effective decision-making in wildlife conservation.

Maned wolf *Chrysocyon brachyurus* (Figure 1) populations are in decline mainly due to anthropogenic actions. Habitat loss and associated reduction of the carrying capacity, isolation of sub-populations and loss of genetic variability have been identified as the main reasons for the decline of maned wolf populations in Brazil (Paula et al. 2008; Paula and Desbiez 2014). Most of its favoured primary habitat, the Cerrado, has been heavily modified (between 50% and 92%), and only 2.2% is protected (Klink and Machado 2005; Klink 2014). Maned wolves are also subject to threats directly or indirectly related to human impact, such as vehicle collisions, feral dogs (that may transmit diseases, attack pups and compete for food), climate change and retaliations due to alleged attacks on poultry (Dietz 1984; Anic 2002; Rodrigues 2002; Muir and Emmons 2012; Emmons 2014). Killing and mutilation of maned wolves due to popular beliefs may be added reasons for their decline (Puglia 1978; Silva 2000; Silva and Nicola 1999; Anic 2002; Soler et al. 2005).

The maned wolf is a ‘keystone’ species and plays an important role in ecosystem dynamics (Sergio et al. 2006; Siliero-Zubiri 2014); it contributes to the dispersal of various species of fruit in the Cerrado, and also...
feeds on insects and rats that are carriers of diseases such as hantavirus and leptospirosis (Dietz 1984; Motta-Junior 2000; Anic 2002). Although predation on hen houses has been mentioned as a source of conflict, evidence from research suggests otherwise, with poultry remains only found in 0.3-1.5% of analysed scat samples or not at all (see Motta-Junior, Queirolo and Bueno 2014 for a review of the feeding ecology of the maned wolf). On the other hand, the association with the grey wolf Canis lupus through its name, its large frame and stand out features, coupled with the rare but dramatic occurrence of attacks on chicken pens, may have helped to inspire negative attitudes and fear within minority groups (Mishra 1997, in Knight 2000; Hill 2004).

São Paulo is the most populated state in Brazil, of high political and economic importance in the national context and arguably over the whole of the maned wolf’s distribution. In São Paulo state the highest concentration of people meet some of the largest remaining areas of Brazil’s biodiversity and endemism. The Geraado savannah, a biodiversity “hotspot”, is home to the most diverse savannah florals in the world (Klink and Machado 2005; Mittermeier et al. 2005). It also produces 50% of Brazil’s soybean and beef, mostly for export. The remaining natural areas are highly fragmented and subject to anthropogenic pressures, such as the invasion of exotic animals and plants, hunting, and fire near urban areas (Rodrigues 2002; Klink 2014).

Research suggests that although the development of concern for wildlife conservation may be inherited, it is also shaped by learning, culture and experience, emerging at different ages as the child grows (Kellert 1997; Bjerke, Odérgardstuen and Kaltenborn 1998a and b; Kellert 2002). Misconceptions and negative messages about wildlife can foster negative perceptions and limit people’s interest in relation to wildlife (Velsor and Nilson 2006). The transition from pre-adolescence to adolescence is a crucial time for the formation of attitudes toward wildlife conservation. Citizens in development and future decision-makers, teenagers are an important component in any community, at an age when their attitudes and values toward the environment and wildlife are being formed and consolidated (Bath and Farmer 2000; Velsor and Nilson 2006).

Studies suggest that variables such as gender, age, knowledge and regional differences and variables related to place of residence may affect the development of attitudes toward carnivores (Kellert et al. 1996; Bjerke, Odegardstuen and Kaltenborn 1998a; b; Karlsson and Sjostrom 2007; Roskaff et al. 2007; Thornton and Quinn 2009; Torkar 2010; Bath 2014; Consorte-McCrea 2014). These variables are the focus of our present investigation.

Specifically, the present study aims to investigate the attitudes (a general feeling toward an object, Roskeach 1968) of two age groups (12-13 and 16-17) of students living in three urban areas within the maned wolf range in Brazil toward the species and its conservation, and how they are affected by variables such as age, gender, location of residence and experiences in relation to the species in question. Within an educational context, this study could be instrumental in directing decisions about curriculum for these age groups and in the planning of environmental educational strategies.

**Methods**

A total of 808 questionnaires were completed in 2007 and 2008, focused upon attitudes toward the maned wolf and its conservation in the southeast of Brazil (for the full study see Consorte-McCrea 2011). Questionnaires aimed to identify selected attitudes, beliefs and knowledge of locals in relation to the maned wolf in urban and rural areas of three locations in São Paulo state. From this sample, data from student respondents aged 12-15 (n=140) and 16-17 (n=124) residing in urban areas, who were able to identify the maned wolf by looking at a photo, were selected for further investigation (see Table 1. below).

**Table 1. Target groups by research location**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research locations</th>
<th>Students year 8</th>
<th>Students sixth-form</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greater São Paulo</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Mogiana region</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>São Carlos</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The three research sites (Figure 2) selected for the study were:

- **Greater São Paulo (GSP)**: an area of 7,944km², including the cities of São Paulo and Franco da Rocha amongst others, and is inhabited by 19,615,060 people (IBGE 2008). Questionnaires were administered to pre-booked visiting schools in São Paulo Zoo Park Foundation (which houses maned wolves) and Jaquery State Park, in Franco da Rocha, considered the last remaining fragment of Geraado in the metropolitan region of São Paulo city (maned wolves are absent).

- **São Carlos city (SC)** has a total population of 220,425 inhabitants occupying an area of 1,132km² (IBGE 2009). The maned wolf is present in remnants of the local Geraado, preserved within conservation units. Questionnaires were distributed to three different local schools. The main revenue is produced by services and industry followed by agriculture. Prestigious universities and research centres are based in SC (one PhD per 180 inhabitants, 30 times above the national average).

- **Low Mogiana region (LM)** comprises the municipalities of Mogi Mirim (87,800 inhabitants occupying an area of 499km²) and Mogi Guacu (138,494 inhabitants over an area of 813km²) (IBGE 2008). Questionnaires were distributed to two local schools. The maned wolf inhabits the local Geraado. Services provide the largest revenue, followed by industry and agriculture.

Student age groups were chosen according to their ‘readiness’ in terms of developmental stage (following Jean Piaget Cognitive Theory; Hutt and Hummel, 2003). Children aged 11–15 have already developed the ability to elaborate abstract concepts based on formal logic (Formal Operational stage) though their cognitive development is not fully complete, and from 16 onwards they are already capable of reasoning as adults as their development matures.

Based on the Theory of Planned Behaviour, attitudes, beliefs, and knowledge concerning the maned wolf and its conservation were measured in the questionnaire as they strongly influence behavioural choices (Ajzen 1991; Manstead 1996). The questions of interest here were in a closed format to yield quantitative data for statistical analysis. Some items (for example, those assessing factual knowledge about the

![Figure 1. The maned wolf (©Edu Fortes).](http://www.canids.org/cbc/)
maned wolf) were in a binary-choice or multiple-response format. Responses to these items were coded as 0 (incorrect) or 1 (correct). Other items used a semantic differential scale, where participants were invited to rate characteristics of the maned wolf on a seven-point scale between two semantic extremes (e.g. dangerous – harmless). These responses were coded by the position of the scale point selected by respondents (i.e. as 1-7). Due to sample sizes, we grouped experiences into first and second hand (i.e. in the absence of actual physical contact), but direct experiences observing the maned wolf in nature have also been examined.

Figure 2. Map of São Paulo state showing the three key research areas (red squares), and further research areas covered by extended study (yellow spots) (Consorte-McCrea 2011).

Data analysis

Knowledge about the maned wolf was coded in a single variable. Participants gained one point on this variable for each correct factual answer about the maned wolf, and lost one point for each incorrect answer. The result was a normally distributed variable on a scale ranging from -5 to +5. The attitude items were subjected to a principal components analysis (PCA) for the purposes of exploration and data reduction. Based on the point of inflection in the scree plot, four components were extracted. These were then rotated orthogonally (with the Varimax method) for ease of interpretation. Component scores for each participant were calculated according to the regression method.

Attitudes of the 12-13 and 16-17 age groups were compared across gender and research locations to explore the influence of variables such as the size of the city and the presence of the maned wolf on attitudes toward the species. To compare outcome variables by age groups, location, and gender, univariate analyses of variance (ANOVA) were performed. Where necessary, pairwise comparisons were adjusted using the conservative Bonferroni procedure. Multiple t-tests were used to explore the effect of socio-demographic variables (residence, gender) amongst interest groups across components, knowledge, exposure to, and intentions to help the maned wolf.

Results

Attitudinal components

PCA component I correlated positive beliefs related to sympathy and feelings that the maned wolf is unthreatening; Component II corresponded to a charismatic, even heroic, image of the maned wolf; Component III to positive attitudes toward the conservation of the maned wolf; and component IV to negative beliefs, fear and potential conflict with the species (Table 2).

Table 2. Rotated Component Matrix of beliefs and attitudes toward the maned wolf. Only the highest component loadings are listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component 1</th>
<th>Ferocious or Tame</th>
<th>Dangerous or Harmless</th>
<th>Aggressive or Defensive</th>
<th>Good or Bad</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.833</td>
<td>.806</td>
<td>.795</td>
<td>.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The maned wolf does not harm anyone</td>
<td>.574</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component 2</th>
<th>Strong or Weak</th>
<th>Brave or Cowardly</th>
<th>Valuable or Worthless</th>
<th>Big or Small</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.726</td>
<td>.673</td>
<td>.643</td>
<td>.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component 3</th>
<th>The maned wolf needs to be protected</th>
<th>Preserving the maned wolf helps to preserve the ecology</th>
<th>The best place for the maned wolf is nature</th>
<th>The maned wolf helps my country’s tourism and culture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.739</td>
<td>.739</td>
<td>.615</td>
<td>.417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component 4</th>
<th>The maned wolf scares and attacks people</th>
<th>The maned wolf is useless</th>
<th>I don’t care about the maned wolf</th>
<th>The maned wolf attacks chicken pens and livestock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.714</td>
<td>.681</td>
<td>.521</td>
<td>.467</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The between-groups ANOVA indicated significant effects of some of the predictor variables upon Component I scores (Table 3) suggesting that respondents in São Carlos found the maned wolf significantly more unthreatening and likable than Lower Mogiana residents (p < 0.001). The interaction between age group and location was also significant, indicating the positive beliefs about the maned wolf decline as children grow older in São Carlos ($t$(74) = 5.11, p < 0.001) and Lower Mogiana ($t$(85) = 2.57, p = 0.012), while for Greater São Paulo residents the trend appears to be reversed ($t$(21) = -2.16, p = 0.042), although this difference is not significant after application of the Bonferroni adjustment (Figure 3).

Table 3. Univariate tests for Component I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent variable</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research location</td>
<td>2, 174</td>
<td>8.298</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target groups by locations</td>
<td>2, 174</td>
<td>6.977</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Component II scores revealed a significant main effect of age group, and significant interactions between location and age group, and between location and gender (Table 4). Younger teens were positively impressed by the maned wolf’s image. Such beliefs, as in Component I, seem to decline with age. Further investigation of the interaction between age group and location (Figure 4) showed that this trend is significant in São Carlos ($t(74) = 4.15, p < 0.001$) and Greater São Paulo ($t(21) = 2.49, p = 0.021$), but not in Low Mogiana ($t(85) = 0.71, p = 0.478$).

The interaction between location and gender suggests that boys found the maned wolf significantly less charismatic than girls only in São Carlos ($t(74) = -2.77, p < 0.01$), while no gender differences were significant in the other locations ($p$ values > 0.6, see Figure 5).

Table 4. Univariate tests for Component II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variable</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target groups</td>
<td>1, 174</td>
<td>13.760</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target groups by location</td>
<td>2, 174</td>
<td>4.434</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location by gender</td>
<td>2, 174</td>
<td>3.484</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attitudes toward maned wolf conservation (Component III) did not seem to be associated to age group, location or gender ($p$ values > 0.25 or greater). There were strong associations between Component IV and age groups ($F(1, 174) = 7.74, p < 0.01$), showing that the younger participants scored higher on negative beliefs about the maned wolf.

Knowledge about the maned wolf

The Knowledge score corresponded to correct answers to questions about the maned wolf’s feeding habits, declining number trend, and social organization. There was a strong association between Knowledge and research locations ($F(2, 251) = 30.29, p < 0.001$). Girls and boys of both age groups knew more about the maned wolf in São Carlos, followed by Greater São Paulo, with residents of Low Mogiana displaying significantly lower levels of knowledge ($p$ values < 0.015). The main effects of age group ($F(1, 251) = 0.23, p = 0.63$) and gender ($F(1, 251) = 0.06, p = 0.80$), as well as all interactions ($p$ values > 0.25), were not significant.

Analysis of correlations between Knowledge scores and Component I showed that the more respondents knew about the maned wolf the more they believed the maned wolf to be unthreatening and likable ($r = 0.36, p < 0.001$). We also found that the more students knew about the maned wolf the more positive their attitude toward its conservation ($r = 0.25, p = 0.001$). There was no correlation between Components II and IV and Knowledge ($p$ values > 0.45).

Previous experience and sources of information

Respondents were asked about their exposure to the maned wolf and if they had had a previous contact with the maned wolf in nature, in a zoo, museum or conservation unit or had a second-hand contact with the species via papers, books, magazines: school, environmental education, information from parents, family or friends, or the internet.
Chi-squared test indicated a significant association between exposure and research locations. Residents of Lower Mogiana had seen the maned wolf live in nature more than teens in other locations (Figure 6, \( \chi^2(2) = 16.78, p < 0.001 \)). Second hand exposure is similar in all locations, while first hand (live, zoo, museum, CU) depends on location (\( \chi^2(2) = 7.43, p = 0.024 \)). Since Lower Mogiana residents reported more experience in seeing the maned wolf in nature, it is possible that the high first-hand experience with maned wolves amongst São Carlos residents refers to encounters with them at the local zoo. 92.4% of participants in São Carlos knew the local zoo, compared with 70.3% in Low Mogiana and 65.9% in Greater São Paulo.

Teens who had seen the maned wolf first hand in nature or in the zoo rather than second-hand through the media or others were more supportive of maned wolf conservation (\( t(184) = 2.73, p < 0.01 \)). This effect held when exposure to the maned wolf was differentiated between those who had seen the animal live in nature and those who had experienced it by any other means (\( t(184) = 2.17, p < 0.05 \)). All other effects relating exposure to attitude components were not significant. Participants with first-hand experience of the maned wolf (\( M = 1.54, s = 1.81 \)) displayed greater knowledge of the animal than those with only second-hand experience (\( M = 0.98, s = 1.95 \), \( t(262) = 1.97, p = 0.05 \)).

A multiple regression analysis was conducted to determine whether personal intentions to help the maned wolf could be predicted from the attitude components, knowledge, and first- or second-hand exposure. The model explained a significant amount of variance in intentions (\( R^2 = 0.50 \), \( R^2 = 0.25 \), \( F(6, 179) = 9.96, p < 0.001 \)). Significant predictors (all coefficients standardised) were Component I, characterised by sympathy (\( \beta = 0.26, p < 0.001 \)), Component II, characterised by a charismatic and heroic image (\( \beta = 0.31, p < 0.001 \)), Component III, the positive conservation attitude (\( \beta = 0.27, p < 0.001 \)), lower knowledge of the maned wolf (\( \beta = 0.19, p = 0.01 \)), and first-hand exposure (\( \beta = 0.22, p = 0.001 \)). Attitude component IV, comprising negative beliefs, was not a significant predictor (\( \beta = 0.03, p = 0.64 \)). It should be noted that the bivariate correlation between knowledge of the maned wolf and personal intentions to help was not significant (\( r = -0.03, p = 0.68 \)).

**Discussion**

**Attitudes and variables**

Our results suggest that overall attitudes were positive toward the maned wolf. Nevertheless, some variables had a negative influence on attitudes. The results from our research shed some light onto when attitudes toward the maned wolf are formed, and about the influence of variables in their development, and form a baseline for future investigations.

**Age**

Attitudes toward the maned wolf were highly influenced by developmental changes taking place between the ages of 12 and 17. Younger teens (12-13) were more positive toward the maned wolf and displayed more intention to help the species, though they also believed the maned wolf was more of a threat to people and livestock. This can be expected from this age group, possibly as an innate response to predators (Heerwagen and Orians 2002; Kellert 2002).

As in other studies about attitudes toward wild carnivores, there was a decline in positive attitudes toward the maned wolf with age in areas where the maned wolf is present. This may be the result of an overall decline in interest for interactions with nature, in favour of social interactions, but may also reflect negative values associated with the maned wolf in the vicinity of rural areas where the species occur (Bjerke, Ødegaardsten, and Kaltenborn 1998b; Kaplan and Kaplan 2002; Clayton and Myers 2009). Where the maned wolf is not present however, older teenagers were more sympathetic and less fearful of the maned wolf, in a similar way that European teens relate to the image.
and symbolism attached to the wolf *Canis lupus* (Bjerk, Ødegårdstuen and Kaltenborn 1998a). This older group, less familiar with the maned wolf *per se*, may identify with the wild appeal that resonates with the changes they are going through as they approach adulthood (Thomason 2002). Such identification should be useful informing conservation strategies.

Sustaining any level of interest toward maned wolf conservation amongst older teens may depend on combining conservation-related activities with a chance for them to interact with peers, within a stimulating context (Kaplan and Kaplan 2002; Velsor and Nilon 2006). It is precisely between the ages of 13 and 17 that the maturation of abstract thinking and ethical concerns facilitates an appreciation of the wider world and its ecosystems, and of the interconnectedness and interdependence between people and wildlife. Feelings of moral and environmental stewardship can be fostered at this point in time, while an inclination to explore and test their limits provides opportunities for engagement. Such opportunities can be maximized by environmental education and awareness campaigns within zoos and conservation units, where the animals are present.

**Gender**

There were no pronounced differences between girls' and boys' attitudes toward the maned wolf, knowledge or intention to help the species, suggesting that the relationship between gender and attitudes toward the maned wolf differs from the results of other studies of large carnivores (Bath and Farmer 2000; Roskraft, Handel, Bjerke and Kaltenborn 2007; Bath, Olszanska and Okarma 2008; Prokop and Tunnikiihke 2008; Thornton and Quinn 2009).

**Location, experience and knowledge**

Location of residence accounted for most variability in attitudes toward the maned wolf amongst respondents. Our findings suggest that attitudes are affected by proximity to maned wolves' ranges as a result of livelihoods and related influences posed by family, friends and peers (Bjerk, Ødegårdstuen and Kaltenborn 1998a; Roskraft et al. 2007; Skogen and Thrane 2008).

Positive attitudes in São Carlos may be associated with familiarity with wildlife combined with a stronger urban cultural heritage and economy (Bath and Farmer 2000; Bath, Olszanska and Okarma 2008; Siemer et al. 2009). São Carlos enjoys proximity to natural areas but has an economy where competition with wildlife has been replaced by services and industries and a thriving academic life, more so than LM. On the other hand, Lower Mogiana respondents displayed, overall, less positive attitudes toward and knowledge about the maned wolf, although they were the most exposed to the maned wolf in nature. However, their familiarity with the maned wolf still translated into intentions to help the species, and positive attitudes amongst the younger teens. As suggested by Thornton and Quinn (2009), the proximity to experiences of conflict and depredation may bring increasing awareness of the need to protect the maned wolf, particularly amongst younger residents, as is the case in Low Mogiana. The negative attitudes amongst younger children here may relate to misconceptions about the feeding ecology of the maned wolf and alleged threat to henhouses, possibly passed down from parents with a rural background. Notwithstanding, the attitudes of the younger children here, where maned wolves are present, were less negative than the attitudes of children residing in GSP, were maned wolves are not found. These findings are in accordance with other research (Bath and Farmer 2000; Bath, Olszanska and Okarma 2008).

Residents of the most urban area (Greater São Paulo) displayed less intention to help the maned wolf and less positive attitudes toward the species, as suggested by other studies (Kellert 1984; Thornton and Quinn 2009), though general attitudes toward the maned wolf improved with age. Older teenagers were more sympathetic and less fearful of the maned wolf although they knew less about them. Therefore the sympathy toward the species amongst older GSP teens is not likely to be based on knowledge or protectionism. It may, however, relate to an identification with hero-related attributes of the maned wolf, and other wolf-related attributes which have been associated with age-linked changes (values, affective).

Although not rural residents themselves, teens in the Low Mogiana may be highly influenced by the local rural economy and family connections, which may affect their attitudes toward the maned wolf. The cultural heritage may also prejudice their trust on information from institutional sources, in favour of local informal sources, which deserves consideration when planning interventions (Skogen and Thrane 2008).

Knowledge about the maned wolf was directly associated with positive attitudes toward the species and its conservation, highlighting the importance of the cognitive element of attitude formation and justifying the need for investment in devising and disseminating accurate information as a means to raise support for the conservation of the maned wolf and possibly other endangered carnivores (Kellert et al. 1996; Roskraft et al. 2007). By contrast, knowledge was not associated with personal intentions to help, and even became a negative predictor of intentions to help when attitudes were also taken into account. This suggests that personal attitudes may be more influential than factual knowledge with respect to personal willingness to help.

On the other hand, findings also suggest that seeing the maned wolf in nature or in a zoo may facilitate learning about the species. Zoos (and conservation units) may wish to explore this potential to combine the development of both cognitive and affective messages through information and the use of positive interactions with the maned wolf (Tunnikiihke, Lucas and Osborne 1997; Myers and Saunders 2002; Clayton and Myers 2009; Clayton et al. 2013; Moss, Jensen and Gusset 2014; Consorte-McCrea et al. 2017). Furthermore, zoos can influence children’s experiences from an early age when attitudes toward wildlife are being formed, and help to develop active environmental concerns for life (Kellner 1997; Biaggio et al. 1999). While the conservation of wild habitats benefits both the maned wolf and the local people, the development of connections between the maned wolf and people in zoos can also be important to promote concern for the species and the natural environment as a whole.

Nevertheless our finding that teenagers' attitudes were affected by seeing the maned wolf live in nature support suggestions that the expectation as well as the experience of encounters may relate to an awareness of conservation issues, interest in wild animals, reduction of fear, and beliefs that their presence enhances the quality of life (Bath and Farmer 2000; Bath 2014; Roskraft, Handel, Bjerke and Kaltenborn 2007; Bath, Olszanska and Okarma 2008; Thornton and Quinn 2009; Torkar et al. 2010). The emergence of positive attitudes may result from the fact that the experience provides an opportunity to combine affective and cognitive elements, when the excitement of seeing the maned wolf is supported by accompanying adults who reinforce positive attitudes and followed up by finding out more about the species (Millar and Millar 1996; Clayton and Myers 2009; Clayton, Luebke, Saunders, Matiasek and Grajai 2013).

**Conclusions**

The development of values regarding wildlife conservation, parallel to children's emotional and intellectual development, is particularly sensitive during middle childhood and early adolescence when it can benefit greatly from stimulation provided by nature and wildlife, from a mixture of direct, indirect and symbolic experiences. Understanding how this development may affect attitudes is relevant to the planning of effective conservation strategies for wildlife.

It is possible that in the most rural areas within the maned wolf range, teens between the ages of 13 and 16, as they prepare to take on their adult role in society, become more aware of negative attitudes toward this canid amongst their family and peers, identify themselves more with them, or begin to associate the maned wolf more with financial loss and danger for themselves and their family. Many negative attitudes, though, may relate to misconceptions about the feeding ecology of the maned wolf and alleged threat to henhouses and require investments in activities aiming to promote knowledge and mitigation. However, historical antipathies and mistrust of governmental institutions
may predispose local people against institutional sources of information. It becomes even more important to involve local conservationists and sympathetic leads in conservation strategies, who may inspire trust and be adopted as role models by the teens.

Consistent exposure to positive experiences in nature from an early age should help to shape values and affect the persistence of positive attitudes toward wildlife throughout life. In urban centres, positive personal experiences with maned wolves in captivity and in the wild can be managed to promote and strengthen positive values, to benefit their conservation and that of their habitat. Near rural areas, even if children are influenced by negative attitudes toward maned wolf eventual predation events, children’s innate affinity with biodiversity and care for animal species before the age of 13 can be reinforced by the social environment, positive experiences and by quality information (Bjerke, Ødegardstuen and Kaltenborn 1998a and b; Kellett 2002). Early investment seems crucial to help promote long-term support for the conservation of the maned wolf and its environment.

It is important to consider that positive attitudes may decline as maned wolf populations recover and experiences of conflict and predation move closer to their place of residence. Thus, efforts toward recovery should be accompanied by monitoring human attitudes so that potential problems may be identified and addressed.

The charismatic maned wolf has a role to fulfil in raising awareness for the conservation of the Cerrado habitat on which its survival depends. The present research suggests that the maned wolf generates curiosity and intention to help conserve it among teenagers, the future decision makers. The maned wolf offers an entry point to discuss ecological interactions in the Cerrado habitat, and values related to the conservation of its biodiversity that should be explored for the benefit of generations to come.
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